

This seems to me a good knock-down argument, and I can't see any way round it. This whole journal, which is quite new, has several articles which are worthy of consideration by anyone who wants to

know what the underpinnings are (or are not) for what they are doing empirically. (Graham G & Horgan T (1988) 'How to be Realistic about Folk Psychology' *Philosophical Psychology* 1/1 69-81)

**John Rowan**

---

### **The Trick of Love**

The trick of love is this -  
Not to be blinded by the genitals.

They have their place,  
Nestling inviting, dark and snug:  
Delirious high of the body's low.  
But that's not all,  
Not even the most of love.

It is a sometime peak,  
Explosive, shattering, transcendental.

But so is the clasp of loving hands,  
A gentle kiss,  
An open and absorbing look.

There are a thousand ways of love,  
Each right, sufficient; each on its own inevitable level.

Some wild: some tender: some lip to lip;  
Some sated with a silent loving look.

Love is all powerful - feel it in all.  
Do not be blinded by the intrusive,  
By the obtrusive -  
Genital.

---

**Manning Dark**

4.4.72

---